

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/463,961	05/25/2000	HIROSHI IKEDA	0160-0193-0-	7006
7:	590 05/06/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT CRYSTAL SQUARE FIVE FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAY 1756 JEFFERSON DAY 2000			EXAMINER	
			VANOY, TIMOTHY C	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Patent and Tredemark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
		EXAMINER	
	ART UNI	T PAPER NUMBER	
		14	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

a) ★ is extended to run ★ MONTHS or continues to run from the date of the final rejection b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is fater. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of the must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursate to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of sustains and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursate to 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, feed has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise he issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). d. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise and definition of the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as sollows: Claims el	⊠ TH	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	
event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and also the date for the the date on which the response, the petition, and the loe have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Appellant's response to the final rejection, field— to place the application in condition for allowance. Appellant's response to the final rejection, field— to place the application in condition for allowance. In the proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Claims allowed: Claims reported to: Claims repected: Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The EMALISH TRANSLATION of JP-243 Revised Mither Protection on the series of the EMALISH	a) 💢	is extended to run 5 MONTHS or continues to run from the date of the final rejection	
The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding mount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the filian rejection, filed to place the application in condition for allowance: 1.	b) 🗌	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, field Applicant's response to the final rejection, field Applicant's response to the final rejection, field The proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). d ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims		The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR	
a. ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. S. ☐ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☐ will be entered ☐ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims eljected to: ———————————————————————————————————	☐ ^{Ap} ※ Ap to	ellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). DATE-STAMPED APRIL 19, 2002 licant's response to the final rejection, filed has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed lace the application in condition for allowance:	
presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	1.	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:	
c. ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:			
d. ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:		b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).	
appeal. e. ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:		c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
NOTE: Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed:			
2. □ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. □ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment □ will be entered □ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □		e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF JP-243 PROVIDED WITH THE REQUEST DATE-STAMPERATE OF THE TRANSLATION OF JP-243 PROVIDED WITH THE REQUEST DATE-STAMPERATE OF THE THAT A PROTRUSION ON THE OUTER SURFACE OF THE 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. ROTATING CUP MAY BE PROVIDED. THIS PROTRUSION IS NOT SEEN TO BE DISTINCT FROM THE APPLICANTS' BLADE.		NOTE:	
the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF JP-243 PROVIDED WITH THE REQUEST DATE-STAMPERATE OF THE TRANSLATION OF JP-243 PROVIDED WITH THE REQUEST DATE-STAMPERATE OF THE THAT A PROTRUSION ON THE OUTER SURFACE OF THE 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. ROTATING CUP MAY BE PROVIDED. THIS PROTRUSION IS NOT SEEN TO BE DISTINCT FROM THE APPLICANTS' BLADE.			
the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF JP-243 PROVIDED WITH THE REQUEST DATE-STAMPERATE OF THE TRANSLATION OF JP-243 PROVIDED WITH THE REQUEST DATE-STAMPERATE OF THE THAT A PROTRUSION ON THE OUTER SURFACE OF THE 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. ROTATING CUP MAY BE PROVIDED. THIS PROTRUSION IS NOT SEEN TO BE DISTINCT FROM THE APPLICANTS' BLADE.			
be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF JP-243 PROVIDED WITH THE REGUEST ONTE-STAMPER APR. 19 202 SETS FORTH THAT A PROTRUSION ON THE OUTER SURFACE OF THE 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. ROTATING CUP MAY BE PROVIDED. THIS PROTRUSION IS NOT SEEN TO BE DISTINCT FROM THE APPLICANTS' BLADE.	2.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF JP-243 PROVIDED WITH THE REQUEST OF TESTAMPER APR. 19 202 SETS FORTH THAT A PROTRUSION ON THE OUTER SURFACE OF THE The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. ROTATING CUP MAY BE PROVIDED. THIS PROTRUSION IS NOT SEEN TO BE DISTINCT FROM THE APPLICANTS' BLADE.	3.		
Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because. THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF JP-243 PROVIDED WITH THE REQUEST OF TESTAMPER APR. 19 202 SETS FORTH THAT A PROTRUSION ON THE OUTER SURFACE OF THE The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. ROTATING CUP MAY BE PROVIDED. THIS PROTRUSION IS NOT SEEN TO BE DISTINCT FROM THE APPLICANTS' BLADE.			
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF JP-243 PROVIDED WITH THE REQUEST ONTIC-STAMPER APR. 19 202 SETS FORTH THAT A PROTRUSION ON THE OUTER SURFACE OF THE The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. ROTATING CUP MAY BE PROVIDED. THIS PROTRUSION IS NOT SEEN TO BE DISTINCT FROM THE APPLICANTS' BLADE.		•	
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF JP-243 PROVIDED WITH THE REQUEST ONTE-STAMPED APR. 19, 2002 SETS FORTH THAT A PROTRUSION ON THE OUTER SURFACE OF THE 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. ROTATING CUP MAY BE PROVIDED. THIS PROTRUSION IS NOT SEEN TO BE DISTINCT FROM THE APPLICANTS' BLADE.		·	
4. A The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection occause ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF JP-243 PROVIDED WITH THE REQUEST ONTE-STAMPER APR. 19 2021 SETS FORTH THAT A PROTRUSION ON THE OUTER SURFACE OF THE. 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. ROTATING CUP MAY BE PROVIDED. THIS PROTRUSION IS NOT SEEN TO BE DISTINCT FROM THE APPLICANTS' BLADE.		Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
presented ROTATING CUP MAY BE PROVIDED. THIS PROTRUSION IS NOT SEEN TO BE DISTINCT FROM THE APPLICANTS' BLADE.	4. 🔀	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF JP-243 PROVIDED WITH THE REQUEST DATE STAMPE APR. 19, 2002 SETS FORTH THAT A PROTRUSION ON THE OUTER SURFACE OF THE	'n
DISTINCT FROM THE APPLICANTS' BLADE.	5. 🗌	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier	
	(ISTINCT FROM THE APPLICANTS' BLADE.	
Skiller & Meman		proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	
Other COPY OF INITIALED & SIGNED Superman Supervisory Patent Examines	N COI	COPY OF WITTALED & SIGNED Supervisory Patent Examines	
PTO-1449, NATE- STAMPED Technology Center 1700 APRIL 19 2002	A -	·	